

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Ally et al. : Confirmation No.: 6885

Serial No. 10/011,649 : Group Art Unit: 2152

Filed: December 3, 2001 : Examiner: Trong Nhan P.
Nguyen

For: RECIPIENT ADDRESS MASKING IN COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS

STATEMENT ESTABLISHING UNINTENTIONAL DELAY IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED
UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Attention: Office of Petitions
Mail Stop Petition

Sir:

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely an proper reply to the Office Action dated as mailed on January 21, 2005, and having a period of response extending through and including April 21, 2005. Applicants' Petition for Revival of this application is filed herewith.

The following facts are submitted to establish unintentional delay in filing the Petition for Revival filed herewith.

1. On Thursday, August 9, 2007, one of the practitioners of record, Mr. Daniel Johnson at the IBM Almaden Research Center, became aware that this case had gone abandoned after his administrative assistant, Ms. Bahea Albarghoute,

had downloaded the file history from PAIR.

2. On or about Friday, August 10, 2007, Mr. Johnson informed Mr. Marc McSwain, who was the in-house manager of this case, that this case had gone abandoned.

3. Shortly thereafter Mr. McSwain attempted to contact Mr. Richard Goldman, the attorney of record for this case, but Mr. Goldman did not respond.

4. On or about Tuesday, August 21, 2007, Mr. Johnson had a conversation with Mr. Goldman about his responsibilities, in which Mr. Goldman acknowledged that he had been unable to give the attention required for prosecution of this and other cases as a result of ongoing family health concerns (both his and his wife's).

5. Mr. McSwain gave notice that he was leaving the company (IBM) on or about Monday, September 10, 2007, but he was unable to resolve this matter prior to his last day of employment with IBM on Friday, September 21, 2007. At that point it became Mr. Johnson's responsibility to manage this case.

6. Mr. Johnson discussed this case with his manager, Mr. Andrew Tennent, on Monday, September 24, 2007, and it was decided to send this case to Mr. Donald Wenskey for handling.

7. Mr. Johnson contacted Mr. Wenskey on Tuesday, September 25, 2007, and Mr. Wenskey agreed to review the history of the case with the intention of filing a petition to revive.

8. Mr. Johnson and Mr. Wenskey met in person to discuss this case on Friday, September 28.

9. Mr. Johnson and Mr. Wenskay exchanged voice mails regarding this case over a period of several days beginning on Friday, October 19.

10. Mr. Johnson and Mr. Wenskay discussed this case in some detail on Wednesday, October 31, 2007.

11. Between October 31, 2007 and November 17, 2007 Mr. Wenskay worked on the preparation of the Amendment and Response and on the Petition to Revoke enclosed herewith.

In the event the examiner wishes to discuss any aspect of this response, please contact the attorney at the telephone number identified below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 090441.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 17, 2007

By: /Donald L. Wenskay/
Donald L. Wenskay
Attorney Registration No. 32661

LAW OFFICE OF DONALD L. WENSKAY
16909 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 202
PO Box 7206
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067
Phone 858-756-0357
Fax 858-756-0427